

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,265	08/08/2001		Aleksei Petrovich Kirpichnikov	P67039US0	9442
136 .	7590	10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
JACOBSON	•		NOLAND, THOMAS		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				2856	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/890,265	KIRPICHNIKOV ET AL.				
, Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas P. Noland	2856				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the second second the second sec	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);					
(c)	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
∑ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: directed to nonentered amendatory language.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• •					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 24 Septe	<u>ember 2003</u> is a)⊠ approved o	r b)☐ disapproved by the				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
0. Other: The drawing sheet showing Figures 1-2 is acceptable.						
		Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2856				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 clearly raise a new issue under 35 U.S.C. 112(2) at to what is meant by the limitation ""as well as : or ... coordinate of its fixed end" in lines 10-12 sinc it is unclear whether a combination of these limitations is being claimed or they are being claimed in the alternative. Also the consideration of what is meant by " and according to ... its surface layers " in lines 13-17 would clearly rais a new issue under 35 U.S.C. 112(2) and possibly as to prior art .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (703) 305-4765. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (703) 305-4705.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

> Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner Art Unit 2856 Thoma Ref

tpn Oct. 17, 2003